

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspio gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/900,054	07/06/2001	Yi-Chuan Ding	JCLA6831	7810
	•	590 04/22/2003			
J.C. Patents, Inc.		nc.		EXAMI	NER
	4 Venture Suite 250			NGUYEN, KHIEM D	
	Irvine, CA 92	618		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2823	
				DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

<u>*</u>	A 17 47 N -		<u> </u>			
* •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· ·			
Advisory Action	09/900,054	DING ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khiem D Nguyen	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	iress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. I 36(a) and the appropriat	See MPEP			
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final rej	the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed	(2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: none						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \boxtimes The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>06 July 2</u>	<u>2001</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)□	disapproved by the	e Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•				
10. ☐ Other:						

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment changing the scope of claims 1 and 7 raised new issues requiring further consideration and new search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument relies on the proposed amendment which has not been entered.

Olik Chaudhuri Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800